



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,482	06/28/2001	David D. Kloba	1933.001000C	4624
26111	7590	10/17/2006	EXAMINER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			WON, MICHAEL YOUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/892,482

Applicant(s)

KLOBA ET AL.

Examiner

Michael Y. Won

Art Unit

2155

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2 and 6-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2 and 6-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed July 21, 2006.
2. Claims 2, 9, 10, 14-17, 2, 22, and 26 have been amended.
3. Claims 2 and 6-26 have been examined and are pending with this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 9, 14, 15, 21, and 26 previously rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement and second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 2, 7-10, 12-17, 19-22, and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Van Der Meer (US 6,415,316 B1).

INDEPENDENT:

As per **claim 9**, Van Der Meer teaches of a computer program product comprising a computer useable medium including control logic stored therein, said control logic enabling a user to operate with channels for mobile devices, said control logic comprising:

creating means for enabling a processor, responsive to user input, to create a bookmark to a first Web page (see col.1, lines 53-63: "Current mechanisms for saving references to Web pages (e.g., bookmarks and favorite lists)");

surfing means for enabling a processor, responsive to user input, to surf to a second Web page (see col.6, lines 1-7: "navigational capabilities");

invoking means for enabling a processor, responsive to user input, to invoke said bookmark, thereby navigating to said first Web page (see Fig.3, step 302 and col.8, lines 35-38: "views an initial diary Web page (not shown) received by the browser");

generating means for enabling a processor to generate an automatic channel form pre-populated with at least a URL of said second Web page (see col.2, lines 3-6: "These references (also called "content objects" or "objects") can be addresses or URLs" and col.9, lines 7-16), said URL having been determined via interaction with a browser, wherein said generating means comprises a script in said first web page (see col.2, lines 52-56: "JavaScript"); and

submitting means for enabling a processor, responsive to user input, to submit to establish said second Web page as a channel (see col.2, lines 10-17: "content objects are entered by the diary owner himself").

As per **claim 14**, Van Der Meer teaches a method for a user to operate with channels for mobile devices, comprising the steps of:

(1) creating a bookmark to a first object/resource (see col.1, lines 53-63: "Current mechanisms for saving references to Web pages (e.g., bookmarks and favorite lists)");

(2) surfing to a second object/resource (see col.6, lines 1-7: "navigational capabilities");

(3) invoking said bookmark, thereby navigating to said first object/resource (see Fig.3, step 302 and col.8, lines 35-38: "views an initial diary Web page (not shown) received by the browser");

(4) reviewing an automatic channel form that was pre-populated with at least a URL of said second object/resource, said URL having been determined via interaction with a browser (see col.2, lines 3-6: "These references (also called "content objects" or "objects") can be addresses or URLs" and col.9, lines 7-16), said automatic channel form generated by a script in said first object/resource (see col.2, lines 52-56: "JavaScript"); and

(5) submitting to establish said second object/resource as a channel (see col.2, lines 10-17: "content objects are entered by the diary owner himself").

As per **claim 15**, Van Der Meer teaches of a computer program product comprising a computer useable medium including control logic stored therein, said control logic enabling a user to operate with channels for mobile devices, said control logic comprising:

creating means for enabling a processor, responsive to user input, to create a bookmark to a first object/resource (see col.1, lines 53-63: "Current mechanisms for saving references to Web pages (e.g., bookmarks and favorite lists)");

surfing means for enabling a processor, responsive to user input, to invoke said bookmark, thereby navigating to said first object/resource from a second object/resource (see col.6, lines 1-7: "navigational capabilities");

reviewing means for enabling a processor to display an automatic channel form that was pre-populated with at least a URL of said second object/resource, said URL having been determined via interaction with a browser (see col.2, lines 3-6: "These references (also called "content objects" or "objects") can be addresses or URLs" and col.9, lines 7-16), said automatic channel form generated by a script in said first object/resource (see col.2, lines 52-56: "JavaScript"); and

submitting means for enabling a processor, responsive to user input, to submit to establish said second object/resource as a channel (see col.2, lines 10-17: "content objects are entered by the diary owner himself").

As per **claim 16**, Van Der Meer teaches a method for a user to operate with channels for mobile devices, comprising the steps of:

- (1) surfing to a web page (see col.6, lines 1-7: “navigational capabilities”);
- (2) invoking a link (see col.1, lines 39-41);
- (3) reviewing an automatic channel form created by a script (see col.2, lines 52-56: “JavaScript”) in response to step (2) that is pre-populated with at least a URL of said web page, said URL having been determined via interaction with a browser (see col.2, lines 3-6: “These references (also called “content objects” or “objects”) can be addresses or URLs” and col.9, lines 7-16); and
- (4) submitting to establish said web page as a channel (see col.2, lines 10-17: “content objects are entered by the diary owner himself”).

As per **claim 21**, Van Der Meer teaches of a computer program product comprising a computer useable medium including control logic stored therein, said control logic enabling a user to operate with channels for mobile devices, said control logic comprising:

surfing means for enabling a processor to surf to a web page in response to user input (see col.6, lines 1-7: “navigational capabilities”);

invoking means for enabling a processor to invoke a link in response to user input (see col.);

generating means for enabling a processor to generate an automatic channel form that is pre-populated with at least a URL of said web page, said URL having been determined via interaction with a browser (see col.2, lines 3-6: “These references (also called “content objects” or “objects”) can be addresses or URLs” and col.9, lines 7-16),

wherein said generating means comprises a script (see col.2, lines 52-56: "JavaScript");
and

submitting means for enabling a processor to establish said web page as a channel in response to a user having submitted (see col.2, lines 10-17: "content objects are entered by the diary owner himself").

As per **claim 26**, Van Der Meer teaches a method for a user to operate with channels for mobile devices, comprising the steps of:

(1) creating a bookmark to a first Web page (see col.1, lines 53-63: "Current mechanisms for saving references to Web pages (e.g., bookmarks and favorite lists)");

(2) surfing to a second Web page (see col.6, lines 1-7: "navigational capabilities");

(3) invoking said bookmark, thereby navigating to said first Web Page (see Fig.3, step 302 and col.8, lines 35-38: "views an initial diary Web page (not shown) received by the browser");

(4) reviewing an automatic channel form that was pre-populated with at least a URL of said second Web page, said URL having been determined via interaction with a browser (see col.2, lines 3-6: "These references (also called "content objects" or "objects") can be addresses or URLs" and col.9, lines 7-16), said automatic channel form generated by a script in said first Web page in response to step (3) (see col.2, lines 52-56: "JavaScript"); and

(5) submitting to establish said second Web page as a channel (see col.2, lines 10-17: "content objects are entered by the diary owner himself").

DEPENDENT:

As per **claims 2, 10, 17, and 22**, which respectively depend on claims 26, 9, 16, and 21, Van Der Meer further teaches wherein said URL is determined using said script (see col.2, lines 52-56).

As per **claims 7, 12, 19, and 24**, which respectively depend on claims 26, 9, 16, and 21, Van Der Meer further teaches wherein said automatic channel form contains fields identifying any combination of a title and URL of a Web page, a maximum channel size, a link depth, whether images are to be included, whether to follow off-site links, and when to refresh (see col.4, line 62-col.5, line 25).

As per **claims 8, 13, 20, and 25**, which respectively depend on claims 26, 9, 16, and 21, Van Der Meer further teaches enabling a processor to modifying settings on said automatic channel form (see col.16, lines 35-40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 6, 11, 18, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Der Meer (US 6,415,316 B1) in view of Narayanaswami (US 6,182,113 B1).

As per **claims 6, 11, 18, and 23**, which respectively depend on claims 26, 9, 16, and 21, Van Der Meer does not explicitly teach wherein said URL of said second Web page is determined using a header.

Narayanaswami teaches wherein said URL of said second Web page is determined using a header (see col.5, lines 31-45).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Van Der Meer in view of Narayanaswami so said URL of said second Web page is determined using a header. One would be motivated to do so because Van Der Meer teaches that the HTML page contains the instructions that activate the transfer applet 508 in combination with all information about the content provided that should be handled by the transfer applet (see col.15, lines 10-18).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2 and 6-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. A newly discovered reference, Van Der Meer (US 6,415,316 B1), has been cited to explicitly teach all the limitations of claims 2, 7-10, 12-17, 19-22, and 24-26. Van Der Meer (US 6,415,316 B1) in view of

Narayanaswami (US 6,182,113 B1) explicitly teaches all the limitations of claims 6, 11, 18, and 23.

Conclusion

8. For the rejection set forth above, claims 1 and 6-26 have been rejected and remain pending.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Y. Won whose telephone number is 571-272-3993. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 7AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Won



October 10, 2006



SALEH NAJJAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER